Leucine partially protects muscle mass and function during bed rest in middle-aged adults1,2

Kirk L English,3,4 Joni A Mettler,3,8 Jennifer B Ellison,2 Madonna M Mamerow,3 Emily Arentson-Lantz,3 James M Pattarini,6 Robert Ploutz-Snyder,3 Melinda Sheffield-Moore,6 and Douglas Paddon-Jones3,4*

3Division of Rehabilitation Sciences, Departments of 4Nutrition and Metabolism, 5Physical Therapy, and 6Internal Medicine, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX; and 7Office of Biostatistics, Universities Space Research Association, Houston, TX

ABSTRACT

Background: Physical inactivity triggers a rapid loss of muscle mass and function in older adults. Middle-aged adults show few phenotypic signs of aging yet may be more susceptible to inactivity than younger adults.

Objective: The aim was to determine whether leucine, a stimulator of translation initiation and skeletal muscle protein synthesis (MPS), can protect skeletal muscle health during bed rest.

Design: We used a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial to assess changes in skeletal MPS, cellular signaling, body composition, and skeletal muscle function in middle-aged adults (n = 19; age ± SEM: 52 ± 1 y) in response to leucine supplementation (LEU group: 0.06 g · kg−1 · meal−1) or an alanine control (CON group) during 14 d of bed rest.

Results: Bed rest decreased postabsorptive MPS by 30% ± 9% (CON group) and by 10% ± 10% (LEU group) (main effect for time, P < 0.05), but no differences between groups with respect to pre-post changes (group × time interactions) were detected for MPS or cell signaling. Leucine protected knee extensor peak torque (CON compared with LEU: −15% ± 2% and −7% ± 3%; group × time interaction, P < 0.05) and endurance (CON compared with LEU: −14% ± 3% and −2% ± 4%; group × time interaction, P < 0.05), prevented an increase in body fat percentage (group × time interaction, P < 0.05), and reduced whole-body lean mass loss after 7 d (CON compared with LEU: −1.5 ± 0.3 and −0.8 ± 0.3 kg; group × time interaction, P < 0.05) but not 14 d (CON compared with LEU: −1.5 ± 0.3 and −1.0 ± 0.3 kg) of bed rest. Leucine also maintained muscle quality (peak torque/kg leg lean mass) after 14 d of bed-rest inactivity (CON compared with LEU: −9% ± 2% and +1% ± 3%; group × time interaction, P < 0.05).

Conclusions: Bed rest has a profoundly negative effect on muscle metabolism, mass, and function in middle-aged adults. Leucine supplementation may partially protect muscle health during relatively brief periods of physical inactivity. This trial was registered at clinicaltrials.gov as NCT00968344.
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INTRODUCTION

The negative consequences of physical inactivity on skeletal muscle health have been well documented (1–4). Although young adults are not immune to an inactivity-induced loss of muscle mass and function, bed rest accelerates the rate of loss in older populations (2, 5, 6). A reduction in postabsorptive and/or postprandial muscle protein synthesis (MPS)7 appears to drive the loss of muscle mass and function in unloading studies (2, 7–10). Although skeletal muscle protein breakdown appears not to be altered by bed rest in young, healthy research volunteers (5, 7, 11), it may be transiently elevated during the first several days of disuse or play an increasing role in aging populations, different models of disuse, and clinical environments or when additional catabolic stimuli are present (12–17).

We proposed that adequate nutritional support represents the prerequisite framework to protect muscle health during periods of physical inactivity (18). Exercise may act synergistically with nutrition to protect muscle health during bed rest or disuse (19–21). However, obstacles such as weakness, fatigue, injury, and disease limit its utility in some circumstances (22).

Dietary interventions that include supplements should not be unduly burdensome, provide excessive energy, or compromise...
the intake of regular meals and macronutrients (23). In young and older adults, the ingestion of as little as 2–3 g leucine as part of a mixed amino acid bolus, was shown to acutely stimulate MPS via the phosphorylation of mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and its downstream targets, p70 S6 kinase 1 (S6K1) and 4E binding protein 1 (4E-BP1) (24–26). These acute stimulatory effects appear to be maintained for at least 2 wk in healthy ambulatory adults receiving leucine-supplemented mixed meals (27). However, the ability of chronic leucine supplementation to improve skeletal muscle mass and function in ambulatory, well-nourished adults is doubtful (28, 29).

Traditionally, muscle metabolism research has discretely targeted young (18–40 y) and/or older (≥65 y) adults (30–33). Middle-aged adults are a largely unexamined research demographic. Despite maintaining a generally youthful phenotype, middle-aged adults may exhibit subtle behavioral and physiologic changes that preempt the onset of sarcopenia and increase vulnerability to catabolic stressors such as bed rest (18, 34). We hypothesized that leucine supplementation would preserve muscle anabolism and protect common indexes of skeletal muscle health during 14 d of bed rest in healthy middle-aged adults.

METHODS
Subjects

Healthy community-dwelling men and women aged 45–60 y old participated in this randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Volunteers were recreationally active but athletically untrained (Table 1). All of the study protocols and procedures were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and were reviewed and approved by the University of Texas Medical Branch’s Institutional Review Board. After providing written informed consent, volunteers were screened in the University of Texas Medical Branch’s Institute for Translational Sciences–Clinical Research Center via a rigorous battery of medical tests and interviews (5, 8, 35). Subjects were randomly assigned to an experimental group who received leucine (LEU group: 0.06 g∙kg⁻¹∙meal⁻¹) or to the control condition who received an isonitrogenous alanine supplement (CON group: 0.06 g∙kg⁻¹∙meal⁻¹). On the basis of our previous bed-rest studies, we calculated that a sample size of n = 9/group would have >0.90 power to detect a post–bed-rest difference in means between groups for our primary metabolic outcome, fractional synthesis rate (FSR) of MPS of 0.025%/h, with an SD of 0.015%/h at the 0.05 level. The general experimental design is depicted in Figure 1.

TABLE 1
Baseline subject characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CON (n = 9)</th>
<th>LEU (n = 10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>52 ± 1</td>
<td>51 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n/f</td>
<td>3 F/6 M</td>
<td>4 F/6 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass, kg</td>
<td>75.7 ± 3.9</td>
<td>73.1 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>175 ± 3</td>
<td>173 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>24.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>24.6 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SEMs. CON, control group; LEU, leucine-supplemented group.

Bed rest

The horizontal bed-rest model, 24 h/d subject monitoring, safety, and comfort provisions were consistent with our previous studies (5, 8, 35). All bathing and toiletry activities were performed without bearing weight. To facilitate eating, bed backs were raised to 5° during three 2-h periods each day, which corresponded to daily meals.

Diet and supplementation

Subjects received controlled isonenergetic diets (55% carbohydrate, 30% fat, and 15% protein) with protein intake evenly distributed across 3 daily meals (0800, 1300, and 1800); snacking was not permitted. Daily energy requirements were estimated using the Harris-Benedict equation. Activity factors of 1.6 and 1.3 were used during the ambulatory and bed-rest period, respectively (5, 8, 35). Powdered L-leucine or L-alanine (0.06 g·kg⁻¹·meal⁻¹; Sigma-Aldrich) was mixed with juice or milk and consumed with each meal during the bed-rest phase of the study. Leucine and alanine supplements were not provided during the initial 3-d ambulatory period or the night before or during metabolic studies. Water was provided ad libitum. Macronutrient intake and plate waste were analyzed by using Nutrition Data System for Research software (version 2006), developed by the Nutrition Coordinating Center, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Metabolic studies

At 0700 on days 4 and 18, after an overnight fast, an 18-gauge polyethylene catheter (Insysy-W; BD Biosciences) was inserted into an antecubital vein. Baseline blood samples were drawn for analysis of phenylalanine enrichment. A second 18-gauge polyethylene catheter was placed in the contralateral antecubital vein and used to maintain a primed (2 μmol/kg), continuous infusion (0.06 μmol·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) of L-[ring-¹³C₆]phenylalanine (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories) throughout the study (Figure 2). Muscle biopsy samples were obtained from the vastus lateralis
Muscle was isolated from the vastus lateralis and homogenized in 1.0 M sucrose, 0.25 M mannitol, 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) with a vibra tissumizer. The homogenate was centrifuged at 1000 × g for 20 min, and a second centrifugation at 40000 × g for 30 min was performed on resulting supernatant. Supernatant was concentrated by ultrafiltration and used as a source of protein for further characterization.

Cell signaling and immunoblotting

Muscle tissue from biopsy 1 (postabsorptive) and biopsy 3 (1 h postprandial) was used to assess changes in cell signaling, as previously described (37). Briefly, frozen muscle tissue was homogenized and total protein content was assayed. Fifty micrograms of total protein was loaded in duplicate along with an internal standard ( 

\[ \text{FSR} = \frac{E_{p2} - E_{p1}}{E_m \times t} \times 60 \times 100 \] 

where \( E_{p2} \) and \( E_{p1} \) are the bound enrichments of L-[ring-\( ^{13}\)C\(_6\)]phenylalanine for 2 muscle biopsies, \( E_m \) is the mean enrichment of L-[ring-\( ^{13}\)C\(_6\)]phenylalanine in the muscle intracellular pool, and \( t \) represents the time interval (min) between the 2 biopsies (e.g., 180 min).

Body composition

Whole-body lean mass (WBLM), leg lean mass (LLM), whole-body fat mass, and body fat percentage were determined by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry on days 3, 10, and 17 (Lunar iDXA; GE Medical Systems). To standardize and minimize the effects of fluid shifts, subjects were required to lie supine for 10 min before scanning.

Muscle function

Unilateral knee and ankle extensor strength [peak torque; Newton-meter (Nm)] and knee muscle endurance (total work) were assessed using isokinetic dynamometry on days 2 and 19 (Biodex System 4; Biodex Medical Systems). Familiarization sessions were conducted on admission (day 1). Peak torque was assessed via 5 maximal repetitions at 60°/s (knee and ankle) and 180°/s (knee only), whereas knee total work/muscular endurance
was assessed using 20 repetitions at 180°/s. An estimate of muscle quality was determined by dividing right knee extensor peak torque by right LLM.

**Peak aerobic capacity**
Peak oxygen uptake (VO\textsubscript{2}peak) was assessed with a metabolic cart (VMax Encore 29; Care Fusion) using a graded exercise test on a cycle ergometer on days 2 and 19 (Monark Ergomedic 828E; Monark Exercise). Data were expressed in absolute (L/min) and relative terms (mL \cdot kg body mass\(^{-1}\) \cdot min\(^{-1}\) and mL \cdot kg lean mass\(^{-1}\) \cdot min\(^{-1}\)) to account for potential changes in body composition during bed rest.

**Statistical analyses**
All analyses were performed by using Stata 14.0 software (StataCorp LP). Mixed-effects linear regression techniques were used to analyze all dependent variables with Stata’s “xtmixed” command. MPS, body composition, muscle function, and aerobic capacity outcomes were analyzed with group and time fixed effects plus a group × time interaction term; models also included a random intercept term to accommodate the within-subject experimental design. Cell signaling outcomes were analyzed with group, time, and fed state (postabsorptive compared with postprandial) as fixed effects and all resultant interaction terms. Statistical assumptions were tested before interpreting results (e.g., normally distributed residuals, outlier detection). When model residuals were skewed, a natural log transformation was performed to meet the normality assumption of this statistical technique; in some instances, it was necessary to exclude overly influential outlying values to meet model assumptions. The interaction effects (group × time) examining changes relative to pre-bed rest were of primary interest because, pursuant to the hypotheses of the study, they compared changes between groups. Only when a significant interaction effect was detected were individual contrasts performed to evaluate within-group changes between time points; Bonferroni corrections were made to adjust for the inflated type I error risks imposed by these additional comparisons. Data are expressed as means ± SEMs; significance was set a priori at \(P \leq 0.05\).

**RESULTS**

**Subjects**
All of the subjects who passed the medical screening and were admitted to the inpatient, experimental phase of the protocol successfully completed the study (see Supplemental Figure 1). Compliance was also excellent as all subjects rigorously adhered to the diet, supplementation, and bed-rest requirements of the protocol; there were no adverse events related to participation in the study.

**Diet and supplementation**
Total energy and macronutrient consumption throughout the study was similar in the CON and LEU groups. Meal-specific and 24-h dietary intake data during bed rest are presented in Table 2.

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Energy (kcal)</th>
<th>Carbohydrate (g)</th>
<th>Fat (g)</th>
<th>Protein (g)</th>
<th>Supplement, g</th>
<th>CON</th>
<th>LEU</th>
<th>CON</th>
<th>LEU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breakfast</td>
<td>656 ± 12</td>
<td>84 ± 2</td>
<td>26 ± 1</td>
<td>25 ± 1</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>609 ± 16</td>
<td>87 ± 2</td>
<td>20 ± 1</td>
<td>24 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>660 ± 13</td>
<td>98 ± 2</td>
<td>20 ± 1</td>
<td>26 ± 1</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>602 ± 16</td>
<td>86 ± 2</td>
<td>20 ± 1</td>
<td>23 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinner</td>
<td>656 ± 13</td>
<td>96 ± 2</td>
<td>20 ± 1</td>
<td>26 ± 1</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>656 ± 13</td>
<td>97 ± 2</td>
<td>20 ± 1</td>
<td>26 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1837 ± 42</td>
<td>258 ± 6</td>
<td>62 ± 2</td>
<td>71 ± 1</td>
<td>13.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1831 ± 42</td>
<td>258 ± 6</td>
<td>62 ± 2</td>
<td>71 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Values are means ± SEMs; \(n = 9\) (CON) and \(n = 10\) (LEU). CON, control group; LEU, leucine-supplemented group.

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre–bed rest</th>
<th>Post–bed rest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>LEU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mTOR (Ser\textsuperscript{2448}), fold change</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6K1 (Thr\textsuperscript{389}), fold change</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4E-BP1 (Thr\textsuperscript{37/46}), fold change</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSR, %/h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postabsorptive</td>
<td>0.062 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.077 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postprandial</td>
<td>0.093 ± 0.014</td>
<td>0.083 ± 0.013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Values are means ± SEMs and were assessed on days 4 and 18; \(n = 9\) (CON) and \(n = 9\) (LEU). The activity of each signaling protein was expressed as phosphorylated/total, and fold change was calculated as postprandial activation/postabsorptive activation. Cell signaling data were analyzed with a mixed-effects model in which time, group, and fed state (postabsorptive compared with postprandial) were fixed effects and subject was a random effect; FSR data were analyzed with a mixed-effects model in which time and group were fixed effects and subject was a random effect. No differences between groups with respect to pre-post changes (group × time interaction effects) were detected for any cell signaling or muscle protein synthesis outcome. All cell signaling outcomes showed a main effect of feeding (\(P < 0.05\) compared with postabsorptive) both pre- and post-bed rest. Postabsorptive FSR showed a main effect of time (\(P < 0.05\) compared with pre-bed rest). CON, control group; FSR, fractional synthesis rate of skeletal muscle; LEU, leucine-supplemented group; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; S6K1, p70 S6 kinase 1; 4E-BP1, 4E binding protein 1.
postprandial FSR (main effect for time, 10% decrease in the LEU group (main effect for time, 9% reduction in postabsorptive FSR compared with a 10% were maintained after bed rest (main effect for feeding, with pre–bed rest).

Cell signaling

No differences between groups with respect to pre-post changes (group × time interaction effects) were detected for any cell signaling outcomes. Before bed rest, the research meal increased phosphorylation of mTOR (Ser2448), S6K1 (Thr389), and 4E-BP1 (Thr37/46) (main effect for feeding, P < 0.05, compared with postabsorptive; Table 3); these collective feeding responses were maintained after bed rest (main effect for feeding, P < 0.05, compared with postabsorptive; Table 3).

Skeletal MPS

Subjects remained in isotopic steady state throughout the infusion studies (Figure 3). No differences between groups with respect to pre-post changes (group × time interaction effects) after 14 d of bed rest were detected for postabsorptive or postprandial MPS, although the CON group experienced a 30% ± 9% reduction in postabsorptive FSR compared with a 10% ± 10% decrease in the LEU group (main effect for time, P < 0.05, compared with pre–bed rest; Table 3). Bed rest did not alter postprandial FSR (main effect for time, P > 0.05, compared with pre–bed rest).

Body composition

Body-composition data are presented in Table 4. Bed rest had a rapid and profoundly negative effect on lean tissue mass in middle-aged adults. After 7 d of bed rest, the CON group experienced reductions of 2.9% ± 0.5% and 5.1% ± 0.9% in WBLM and LLM, respectively (both P < 0.05 compared with pre–bed rest), whereas leucine supplementation attenuated these losses (WBLM: −1.7% ± 0.5%, group × time interaction, P < 0.05; LLM: −3.2% ± 0.6%, group × time interaction, P = 0.09). After 14 d of bed rest, no differences between groups with respect to pre-post changes (group × time interaction effects) were detected, and both groups sustained significant losses in muscle mass (WBLM: CON compared with LEU, −2.8% ± 0.6% compared with −2.1% ± 0.7%; LLM: CON compared with LEU, −6.8% ± 0.9% compared with −5.0% ± 0.8%; all P < 0.05 compared with pre–bed rest). During bed rest, the CON group experienced modest, yet significant increases in whole-body fat mass and body fat percentage. Leucine supplementation prevented the accumulation of body fat (Table 4).

Muscle function and quality

Bed rest had a negative impact on all indexes of muscle function (Table 5). Leucine supplementation partially or fully protected most outcomes, including knee extensor peak torque at 60°/s (CON compared with LEU: −15% ± 2% compared with −7% ± 3%; group × time interaction, P < 0.05) and 180°/s (CON compared with LEU: −19% ± 3% compared with −6% ± 2%; group × time interaction, P < 0.05) and knee extensor endurance (CON compared with LEU: −14% ± 3% compared with −2% ± 4%; group × time interaction, P < 0.05). Muscle quality (relative strength) was also negatively affected by bed rest (CON: −9% ± 2%; P < 0.05 compared with pre–bed rest) but was preserved by leucine supplementation (LEU: +1% ± 3%; group × time interaction, P < 0.05; Table 5). No differences between groups with respect to pre-post changes (group × time interaction effects) were detected for absolute or relative (mL ∙ kg−1 ∙ min−1) VO_{2peak} (main effects for time, P < 0.05, compared with pre–bed rest); a trend for a group × time effect was present for VO_{2peak} relative to lean mass (mL ∙ kg lean mass−1 ∙ min−1; P = 0.09). In the CON group, bed rest reduced

---

**TABLE 4**

Body composition (DXA) before and after 7 and 14 d of bed rest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-bed rest</th>
<th>Mid-bed rest, ∆</th>
<th>Post-bed rest, ∆</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>LEU</td>
<td>CON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass, kg</td>
<td>75.7 ± 3.9</td>
<td>73.1 ± 3.7</td>
<td>−0.9 ± 0.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBLM, kg</td>
<td>51.4 ± 3.1</td>
<td>49.5 ± 2.9</td>
<td>−1.5 ± 0.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLM, kg</td>
<td>17.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>17.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>−0.9 ± 0.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBFM, kg</td>
<td>22.3 ± 2.7</td>
<td>21.0 ± 2.5</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat, %</td>
<td>29.7 ± 3.3</td>
<td>29.8 ± 3.1</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are means ± SEMs and were assessed on days 3, 10, and 17; n = 9 (CON) and n = 10 (LEU). Data were analyzed with a mixed-effects model in which time and group were fixed effects and subject was a random effect. Within group, pre– to post–bed-rest comparisons were Bonferroni-corrected to minimize the potential for type I errors. A trend for a group × time interaction effect was present for LLM (P = 0.09). †Significant interaction effect (group × time compared with pre–bed rest, P < 0.05); *different from pre–bed rest, P < 0.05; CON, control group; DXA, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; LEU, leucine-supplemented group; LLM, leg lean mass; WBFM, whole-body fat mass; WBLM, whole-body lean mass; ∆, change.
Later, investigators recognized the potential clinical relevance of the bed-rest model and started to conduct inpatient analog studies in healthy older men and women (>65 y) to reflect the reduced physical activity associated with aging, hospitalization, illness, and injury. Although unloading models that target a single limb (e.g., limb suspension, immobilization) have adversely affected younger adults more than their older counterparts (44, 45), whole-body bed-rest studies appear to have a greater negative effect on muscle mass and function in older populations (1, 2, 4, 5, 46, 47).

We enrolled volunteers with a mean (middle-) age squarely between those of previous bed-rest study cohorts (52 ± 1 y). This “pre-elderly” population shows few negative metabolic or phenotypic consequences of aging but has increasing representation in hospitalized inpatient populations (48) and specialized groups such as the astronaut corps (49). Although direct translation of our results to these populations would be premature, our data do support the need for clinical trials targeting physically inactive/mobility-impaired cohorts. Studies that directly compare specific age groups (e.g., young compared with middle-aged compared with older adults) and/or disuse-model differences (i.e., bed rest compared with limb immobilization) would be particularly valuable.

We hypothesized that middle-aged volunteers would experience a modest reduction in key outcome measures intermediate to.

### TABLE 5
Muscle function before and after 14 d of bed rest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-bed rest</th>
<th>Post-bed rest, Δ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>LEU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee extensor torque at 60°/s, Nm</td>
<td>159 ± 13</td>
<td>148 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee extensor torque at 180°/s, Nm</td>
<td>104 ± 10</td>
<td>103 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee extensor total work at 180°/s, J</td>
<td>1630 ± 196</td>
<td>1685 ± 185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle extensor torque at 60°/s, Nm</td>
<td>66 ± 6</td>
<td>57 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle quality, Nm * kg right leg lean mass⁻¹</td>
<td>18.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>17.2 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Values are means ± SEMs and were assessed on days 2 and 19; n = 9 (CON) and n = 10 (LEU). Muscle quality was calculated as knee extensor peak torque (at 60°/s)/right leg lean mass (Nm/kg). Data were analyzed with a mixed-effects model in which time and group were fixed effects and subject was a random effect. All VO₂peak outcomes showed a main effect of time (P < 0.05 compared with pre-bed rest); a trend for a group × time interaction effect was present for VO₂peak relative to lean mass (P = 0.09). CON, control group; LEU, leucine-supplemented group; Nm, Newton-meter; Δ, change.

### TABLE 6
Aerobic capacity before and after 14 d of bed rest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-bed rest</th>
<th>Post-bed rest, Δ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>LEU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂peak L/min · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.17</td>
<td>2.14 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂peak ml · kg lean mass⁻¹ · min⁻¹</td>
<td>27.3 ± 2.0</td>
<td>28.9 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak workload, W</td>
<td>164 ± 16</td>
<td>168 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak heart rate, beats/min</td>
<td>168 ± 5</td>
<td>169 ± 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Values are means ± SEMs and were assessed on days 2 and 19; n = 8 (CON) and n = 9 (LEU). Data were analyzed with a mixed-effects model in which time and group were fixed effects and subject was a random effect. All VO₂peak outcomes showed a main effect of time (P < 0.05 compared with pre-bed rest); a trend for a group × time interaction effect was present for VO₂peak relative to lean mass (P = 0.09). CON, control group; LEU, leucine-supplemented group; VO₂peak, peak oxygen uptake; Δ, change.

2Peak workload and peak heart rate are presented descriptively and were not subjected to statistical testing.
the documented losses in younger and older cohorts. However, the loss of LLM in our middle-aged control group (aged 52 ± 1 y; −1.2 ± 0.1 kg over 14 d) was ~3-fold greater than in studies with younger participants (aged 38 ± 8 y; −0.4 ± 0.1 kg over 28 d) (5, 7) and was consistent with losses reported in older adults (aged 67 ± 5 y; −1.0 ± 0.2 kg over 10 d) (2). A similar pattern was observed for most muscle function outcomes. The relative loss of isokinetic knee extensor peak torque during bed rest in both middle-aged (~15%; pre compared with post: 159 ± 12 compared with 135 ± 11 Nm) and older adults (~16%; pre compared with post: 120 ± 11 compared with 101 ± 9 Nm) (2) was similar, although absolute strength values in older adults were lower, which increases the risk of impaired functional performance (50, 51).

The potential for middle-aged adults to experience such a rapid and substantial loss of muscle mass and function after a relatively short period of bed rest underscores the need for continued education, preventative efforts, and effective treatment options for all age groups. Although any form of physical loading is clearly desirable in most inactivity/bed-rest settings (11), we chose to model a quasi–worst case situation in which nutritional support (leucine supplementation) was the only available exogenous anabolic stimulus.

Our decision to use a single amino acid to protect muscle health during bed rest was supported by the mechanistic and practical attributes of leucine. We hypothesized that a small amount of leucine added to the moderate amount of protein consumed during regular meals (25 g protein/meal) would serve as an anabolic trigger (52) and positively influence cell signaling (mTOR, S6K1, and 4E-BP1) and skeletal MPS (24, 26, 53, 54). We anticipated that this, in turn, would have a protective effect on body composition and muscle function. Previously, we successfully manipulated this translational pathway in a series of bed-rest studies in young (5) and older (50) adults by providing large quantities of all of the essential amino acids (49.5 g/d). Despite showing proof of concept, these studies lacked clinical relevance because of issues such as poor palatability, high cost, and large fluid volume and energy content of the supplement (18). The leucine supplement used in the present study contributed only 18 kcal/meal (54 kcal/d), was easily combined with regular menu items, and was well tolerated by study participants. Similar positive results were also reported by Deutz et al. (47), who used a very small amount (1.5 g twice daily, total of 3 g/d) of the leucine metabolite β-hydroxy-β-methylbutyrate to preserve muscle mass in older adults (60–76 y) during 10 d of bed rest. Although it is possible, or even likely, that additional protein or leucine-rich foods would also have a protective effect (55), continued efforts to develop efficient, mechanistically targeted, yet practical interventions may have broader clinical relevance. Our volunteers consumed ~1.15 g protein · kg⁻¹ · d⁻¹ (meals and supplement) and lost muscle mass while gaining body fat. Exceeding this moderate quantity of protein may be beneficial in many situations (e.g., flight analog studies, hypermetabolic patient populations) (55, 56). However, it may be challenging or impractical for individuals with lower energy requirements, dietary restrictions, or satiety issues (57). In such instances, promoting muscle anabolism via a lower volume, lower energy alternative would be of considerable practical value.

Leucine supplementation had a positive, protective effect on almost all of our outcome measures. However, it must be stressed that leucine did not fully prevent the loss of muscle mass or function during bed rest. Furthermore, the protective effects may have a limited time course. Leucine appeared to exert its greatest protective effect on lean mass during the initial week of our 14-d protocol. During the final 7 d, the rate of loss in the LEU group was similar to that in the CON group (Table 4). One of the more novel effects of leucine was its ability to protect muscular endurance (knee extensor total work) and to a lesser extent VO₂peak during bed rest. Whereas physical activity fully (58, 59) or partially (60) protects aerobic capacity during bed rest, the potential effect of leucine on muscle energetics and mitochondrial function merits further attention (61, 62).

A methodologic limitation of this study was the use of an essential amino acid test meal during the metabolic studies. Although providing a robust and reproducible acute anabolic stimulus, the test meal did not represent the whole-food meals consumed during bed rest and may have hampered our ability to detect anabolic resistance or changes in cell signaling and postprandial MPS (2, 7–10). Similarly, our small sample size may have reduced our statistical power and impaired our ability to identify between-group differences.

In summary, strategies and behaviors to protect muscle health during periods of inactivity should not focus exclusively on older individuals. Bed rest of 14 d has a profoundly negative effect on muscle metabolism, mass, and function in healthy middle-aged adults. Leucine supplementation has the potential to be a simple, minimally invasive dietary strategy to help preserve muscle health during relatively brief periods of physical inactivity.
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